Ex Parte Notice

March 2, 2017

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: WC Docket No. 13-184, Modernizing the E-rate Program for Schools and Libraries

Dear Ms. Dortch:


1 NTCA represents approximately 850 independent, community-based telecommunications companies and cooperatives and more than 400 other firms that support or are themselves engaged in the provision of communications services in the most rural portions of America. All of NTCA’s service provider members are full service rural local exchange carriers (“RLECs”) and broadband providers, and many provide fixed and mobile wireless, video, satellite and other competitive services in rural America as well.

2 TDS Telecommunications Corporation (TDS Telecom/TDS), a wholly owned subsidiary of Telephone and Data Systems, Inc., is the seventh largest local exchange telephone company in the U.S. TDS provides 1.2 million connections to high-speed Internet, phone, and TV entertainment services in more than 150 rural, suburban, and metropolitan communities.


TDS began by providing its feedback on the 2014 modernization of the E-rate program based on its experience in providing voice and broadband service to hundreds of rural schools and libraries (as well as a hundreds of thousands of rural residential and business customers) in 27 states. In particular, TDS discussed the phase-out of support for voice service initiated by the 2014 E-rate Modernization Order and beginning in funding year 2015. TDS noted that the schools and libraries to which the company provides voice and broadband service have expressed concern with the lack of support for voice service going forward and have stated that continued support for this service is critical for a number of reasons.

For example, a large number of rural schools and libraries may be forced to continue to utilize existing PBX or similar type voice systems that are in many cases decades old, lack a number of essential features that advance the public safety of students (features available with more modern managed Voice over Internet Protocol (“VoIP”) systems, as discussed below), and may have already been scheduled for replacement in the next few years. However, these schools and libraries now face the inability to replace these older and outdated phone systems due to the lack of E-rate support necessary to do so. 

In addition, a number of schools and libraries that have migrated to managed VoIP systems have expressed concern that the reduction of support for voice service may require them to forego the continued use of features that drew them to the service in the first place. For example, managed VoIP services enable schools to have a reliable landline connection in every classroom and enable school administrators or faculty to create a message remotely for all inbound calls (for instance, to indicate that all students and faculty are safe and can be picked up at a predetermined checkpoint). Schools now unable to receive any E-rate support for voice services may need to move away from such features as a cost-cutting measure.

TDS and NTCA then stated that schools’ and libraries’ continued access to affordable, high-quality and modern voice service continues to be critical for public safety reasons, and that the phase-down of support for voice service in the E-rate program runs counter to the importance of this service as set forth in statute and recent Commission proceedings. For one, as Section 254 of the Communications Act, as amended, makes clear, the definition of universal service includes telecommunications services viewed as essential to public safety. Nothing could be more basic to public safety in the communications space than the ability to quickly and reliably call 911 in the event of an emergency; also important in a school environment is the ability of teachers and administrators to contact parents in such situations. Continued access to voice service in the E-rate universal service program would not only be consistent with statute, it would be in keeping with the Commission’s consistent focus in many other contexts on reliable and redundant access to 911 services. The Commission’s 911 reliability and redundancy

---

5 2014 E-rate Modernization Order, ¶¶ 134-143.

6 Beyond these features, many managed VoIP systems have an enormous capacity for concurrent inbound calls so there will not be a busy signal even during heavy periods of usage. This functionality can provide a great sense of relief to parents. A managed VoIP system may also have redundant servers located in different states so the VoIP system can be up and running within seconds if there’s an outage.

proceedings, enforcement actions against local exchange carriers and others for 911 outages, the focus on the reliability of voice service and access to public safety officials in the IP transition proceeding, and the adoption of backup power rules for certain voice providers all demonstrate a serious Commission commitment to voice service as the indispensable form of access to emergency services. The continued support of voice service in the E-rate program would simply be an extension of that commitment.

TDS and NTCA then stated that continued support for voice service need not interfere with the broadband availability and affordability goals set forth in the 2014 E-rate Modernization Order or the 2014 E-rate Second Report and Order. It would, rather, only increase E-rate applicants’ ability to choose the services that best fit their current needs. For instance, a school that already utilizes a high-capacity broadband connection that meets the speed targets set forth in 2014 and that meets their educational needs for the foreseeable future may now need support for improved voice service. Moreover, the support for an upgraded voice service would allow the school to leverage their high-capacity broadband connection by moving to a more efficient VoIP system.

Based on the above discussed points, TDS and NTCA asked the Commission to ensure that the modernized E-rate program can continue to meet the diverse needs of schools and libraries, needs that in many instances across the nation include continued support for voice service. As a first step, the Commission should conduct an E-rate support applicants study – as the 2014 E-rate Modernization Order directed – to enable schools and libraries to demonstrate the

---

8 Improving 911 Reliability, PS Docket 13-75, Report and Order, FCC 13-158 (rel. Dec. 12, 2013), ¶ 1 (adopting “rules to improve the reliability and resiliency of 911 communications networks nationwide by requiring that 911 service providers take reasonable measures to provide reliable 911 service”).

9 New Release: FCC Fines CenturyLink and Intrado $17.4 million for Multi-State 911 Outage (Apr. 6, 2015) (“Delivering 911 calls is one of the most important public safety responsibilities a phone company has.”), available at https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-332853A1.pdf.

10 Technology Transitions, GN Docket No. 13-5, et. al., Declaratory Ruling, Second Report and Order, and Order on Reconsideration, FCC 16-90 (rel. Jul. 15, 2016), ¶ 127 (adopting the “adequate replacement” test in the context of Section 214 discontinuance applications that involve technology transitions and stating that the second prong of that test requires that “a replacement service complies with Commission requirements pertaining to accessible, accurate, and reliable 911 service.”).


12 2014 E-rate Modernization Order, ¶¶ 32-47. See also, Comments of NTCA–The Rural Broadband Association, WC Docket No. 13-184 (fil. Sep. 16, 2013), pp. 12-13 (discussing a survey of NTCA members which found that, of the 1,208 K-12 schools identified by NTCA members as located within their serving areas, 907 (75%) of those are already connected by Fiber-to-the-Premises (“FTTP”), and another 132 (11%) are connected by Fiber-to-the-Node (“FTTN”).

13 2014 E-rate Modernization Order, ¶ 135 (“Over the first two years of the phase down for voice services support, we direct the Bureau to evaluate the impact of the phase down on eligible schools and libraries and study the transition of eligible schools and libraries to VoIP services and issue a report to the Commission as we continue to reduce voice support by 20 percentage points each year.”).
consequences of the voice support phase-down on their operations and budgets. This would provide the Commission with the direct applicant feedback necessary to engage in a “data-driven” determination of the needed levels of voice support going forward.

With respect to the continuation of voice service support, TDS and NTCA noted that while a “pause” at funding year 2016 support levels may appear on its face to be a reasonable and simple step, the phase-down of support as structured by the 2014 E-rate Modernization Order would limit the efficacy of such action for a large number of schools and libraries. More specifically, E-rate applicants with a 40 percent or smaller discount rate matrix were already ineligible for E-rate voice service support beginning in funding year 2016.\(^{14}\) Thus, a simpler and more effective solution would be a restoration of funding year 2014 levels of support for voice service, at the very least on a temporary basis.

TDS and NTCA further noted their recognition of the fact that even a short term restoration of support at levels pre-dating the phase-down must not place upward pressure on the overall E-rate budget. One possible solution would be a move toward “per-student” (or “per-building” for libraries) budgets for all E-rate services. Each school or library would have a “budget” to spend on whatever communications services they choose. This would enable schools and libraries in need of voice support to get it (or not, should they choose to seek support for broadband or other services instead) yet ensure that the restoration of support for voice does not have a budgetary affect. As to the latter issue, it would ensure that the increased availability of voice support in the program does not mean that increased voice support flowing to applicants that choose such support will increase overall program total expenditures or come at the expense of applicants in need of support for other services. Moreover, because the Commission has already implemented budgets for Category Two services,\(^{15}\) the move to a budget for the rest of the program should be less burdensome than would otherwise be the case for both applicants and USAC.

Thank you for your attention to this correspondence. Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission’s rules, a copy of this letter is being filed via ECFS.

\(^{14}\) See, Universal Service Administrative Company (“USAC”) Schools and Libraries New Brief (Feb. 9, 2015), available at http://www.usac.org/sl/tools/news-briefs/preview.aspx?id=599 (showing that E-rate applicants with a 40 percent or lower discount rate, pursuant to the 2014 E-rate Modernization Order, were allowed zero discounts for voice service beginning in funding year 2016.); See also, 2014 E-rate Modernization Order, ¶ 135.

\(^{15}\) 2014 E-rate Modernization Order, ¶¶ 86-107.