Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20515

753

September 15, 2017

The Honorable Ajit V. Pai Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Pai:

We write seeking your assurance that the Federal Communications Commission's plan to transfer the Universal Service Fund (USF) to the Department of the Treasury (Treasury) will not permit USF monies to be spent for other federal programs. The programs supported by USF have connected millions of Americans and should not be spent on other debts.

The principle of universal service embodied by the USI' is one of the core principles of the Communications Act. Through the four discrete USF programs, the FCC has been able to build out broadband in rural and tribal areas, help connect schools and libraries, improve health care, and extend a communications lifeline to struggling Americans.

According to reports, the FCC is planning to move the USF to the Treasury. We have heard concerns from constituents that this move could allow USF funds to be used for other purposes, which could make it more difficult to bridge the digital divide.

Before the I^oCC moves forward with its plan, we ask you to please commit that it would be impermissible for USF monies to be spent for other purposes if the USF is moved to the Treasury. Such an assurance is important for the millions of Americans whose connection depends on the USF.

We appreciate your attention to this important matter and look forward to your prompt response.

Sincerely.

PETER WELCH

Member of Congress

MIKE DOYLE

Member of Congress

AKNA ESHOO

Member of Congress

K BUTTERFIELD

Member of Congress

DAVID LOEBSACK Member of Congress

DORIS MATSUI Member of Congress

MERRY MCNERNEY
Member of Congress



October 24, 2017

The Honorable G.K. Butterfield U.S. House of Representatives 2080 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Butterfield:

Thank you for your letter concerning the planned transfer of Universal Service Fund (USF) monies from a private bank to the Department of Treasury. As you are aware, I am fully committed to bridging the digital divide, and the USF is an essential component of our strategy for accomplishing that goal. Consequently, I support transferring USF accounts to the United States Treasury for the simple reason that we must take all appropriate measures to secure the integrity of the USF's funding source and avoid any unnecessary risks to the program.

As the Government Accountability Office (GAO) determined, the United States Treasury is the appropriate depository for USF accounts. GAO's report (GAO-17-538) specifically stated that USAC's banking practices for the USF result in oversight and accountability risks. The report favored our planned approach, which was first discussed by GAO in its 2005 report where it advised the Commission to label universal service monies as federal funds. At that time, GAO noted that the USF should be handled in a way consistent with existing law (see Miscellaneous Receipts Statute, 31 U.S.C. § 3302). The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) later agreed with this determination in a 2014 communication with the FCC.

In your letter, you mention a concern from some that moving USF funds to the Treasury could allow them to be used for other purposes. I understand and appreciate your concern about the future of the USF. Please be assured that I would never condone any actions that undermine the USF's financial stability. It would be impermissible for USF monies to be spent for any purpose other than universal service. The entity holding USF funds has no effect on the Fund's status as a permanent, indefinite appropriation.

It appears this confusion stems from GAO's somewhat oblique reference to the Treasury Offset Program authorized by 26 U.S.C. § 6402(d) and 31 U.S.C. § 3720A. The Treasury Offset Program, administered by the Bureau of the Fiscal Service's Debt Management Services, collects delinquent debts owed to federal agencies and states by individual entities. Keeping USF accounts within Treasury will allow the federal government to ensure that those with delinquent federal debts do not abscond with USF monies.

Page 2—The Honorable G.K. Butterfield

progress in effectuating the transfer to Treasury supported by GAO throughout the past decade and I look forward to completing this task within the next year.

Sincerely.

Ajit V. Pai



October 24, 2017

The Honorable Mike Doyle U.S. House of Representatives 239 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Doyle:

Thank you for your letter concerning the planned transfer of Universal Service Fund (USF) monies from a private bank to the Department of Treasury. As you are aware, I am fully committed to bridging the digital divide, and the USF is an essential component of our strategy for accomplishing that goal. Consequently, I support transferring USF accounts to the United States Treasury for the simple reason that we must take all appropriate measures to secure the integrity of the USF's funding source and avoid any unnecessary risks to the program.

As the Government Accountability Office (GAO) determined, the United States Treasury is the appropriate depository for USF accounts. GAO's report (GAO-17-538) specifically stated that USAC's banking practices for the USF result in oversight and accountability risks. The report favored our planned approach, which was first discussed by GAO in its 2005 report where it advised the Commission to label universal service monies as federal funds. At that time, GAO noted that the USF should be handled in a way consistent with existing law (see Miscellaneous Receipts Statute, 31 U.S.C. § 3302). The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) later agreed with this determination in a 2014 communication with the FCC.

In your letter, you mention a concern from some that moving USF funds to the Treasury could allow them to be used for other purposes. I understand and appreciate your concern about the future of the USF. Please be assured that I would never condone any actions that undermine the USF's financial stability. It would be impermissible for USF monies to be spent for any purpose other than universal service. The entity holding USF funds has no effect on the Fund's status as a permanent, indefinite appropriation.

It appears this confusion stems from GAO's somewhat oblique reference to the Treasury Offset Program authorized by 26 U.S.C. § 6402(d) and 31 U.S.C. § 3720A. The Treasury Offset Program, administered by the Bureau of the Fiscal Service's Debt Management Services, collects delinquent debts owed to federal agencies and states by individual entities. Keeping USF accounts within Treasury will allow the federal government to ensure that those with delinquent federal debts do not abscond with USF monies.

Page 2—The Honorable Mike Doyle

progress in effectuating the transfer to Treasury supported by GAO throughout the past decade and I look forward to completing this task within the next year.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai



October 24, 2017

The Honorable Anna G. Eshoo U.S. House of Representatives 241 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Eshoo:

Thank you for your letter concerning the planned transfer of Universal Service Fund (USF) monies from a private bank to the Department of Treasury. As you are aware, I am fully committed to bridging the digital divide, and the USF is an essential component of our strategy for accomplishing that goal. Consequently, I support transferring USF accounts to the United States Treasury for the simple reason that we must take all appropriate measures to secure the integrity of the USF's funding source and avoid any unnecessary risks to the program.

As the Government Accountability Office (GAO) determined, the United States Treasury is the appropriate depository for USF accounts. GAO's report (GAO-17-538) specifically stated that USAC's banking practices for the USF result in oversight and accountability risks. The report favored our planned approach, which was first discussed by GAO in its 2005 report where it advised the Commission to label universal service monies as federal funds. At that time, GAO noted that the USF should be handled in a way consistent with existing law (see Miscellaneous Receipts Statute, 31 U.S.C. § 3302). The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) later agreed with this determination in a 2014 communication with the FCC.

In your letter, you mention a concern from some that moving USF funds to the Treasury could allow them to be used for other purposes. I understand and appreciate your concern about the future of the USF. Please be assured that I would never condone any actions that undermine the USF's financial stability. It would be impermissible for USF monies to be spent for any purpose other than universal service. The entity holding USF funds has no effect on the Fund's status as a permanent, indefinite appropriation.

It appears this confusion stems from GAO's somewhat oblique reference to the Treasury Offset Program authorized by 26 U.S.C. § 6402(d) and 31 U.S.C. § 3720A. The Treasury Offset Program, administered by the Bureau of the Fiscal Service's Debt Management Services, collects delinquent debts owed to federal agencies and states by individual entities. Keeping USF accounts within Treasury will allow the federal government to ensure that those with delinquent federal debts do not abscond with USF monies.

Page 2—The Honorable Anna G. Eshoo

progress in effectuating the transfer to Treasury supported by GAO throughout the past decade and I look forward to completing this task within the next year.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai



October 24, 2017

The Honorable Dave Loebsack U.S. House of Representatives 1527 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Loebsack:

Thank you for your letter concerning the planned transfer of Universal Service Fund (USF) monies from a private bank to the Department of Treasury. As you are aware, I am fully committed to bridging the digital divide, and the USF is an essential component of our strategy for accomplishing that goal. Consequently, I support transferring USF accounts to the United States Treasury for the simple reason that we must take all appropriate measures to secure the integrity of the USF's funding source and avoid any unnecessary risks to the program.

As the Government Accountability Office (GAO) determined, the United States Treasury is the appropriate depository for USF accounts. GAO's report (GAO-17-538) specifically stated that USAC's banking practices for the USF result in oversight and accountability risks. The report favored our planned approach, which was first discussed by GAO in its 2005 report where it advised the Commission to label universal service monies as federal funds. At that time, GAO noted that the USF should be handled in a way consistent with existing law (see Miscellaneous Receipts Statute, 31 U.S.C. § 3302). The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) later agreed with this determination in a 2014 communication with the FCC.

In your letter, you mention a concern from some that moving USF funds to the Treasury could allow them to be used for other purposes. I understand and appreciate your concern about the future of the USF. Please be assured that I would never condone any actions that undermine the USF's financial stability. It would be impermissible for USF monies to be spent for any purpose other than universal service. The entity holding USF funds has no effect on the Fund's status as a permanent, indefinite appropriation.

It appears this confusion stems from GAO's somewhat oblique reference to the Treasury Offset Program authorized by 26 U.S.C. § 6402(d) and 31 U.S.C. § 3720A. The Treasury Offset Program, administered by the Bureau of the Fiscal Service's Debt Management Services, collects delinquent debts owed to federal agencies and states by individual entities. Keeping USF accounts within Treasury will allow the federal government to ensure that those with delinquent federal debts do not abscond with USF monies.

Page 2—The Honorable Dave Loebsack

progress in effectuating the transfer to Treasury supported by GAO throughout the past decade and I look forward to completing this task within the next year.

Sincerely.

Ajit V. Pa



October 24, 2017

The Honorable Doris Matsui U.S. House of Representatives 2311 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Matsui:

Thank you for your letter concerning the planned transfer of Universal Service Fund (USF) monies from a private bank to the Department of Treasury. As you are aware, I am fully committed to bridging the digital divide, and the USF is an essential component of our strategy for accomplishing that goal. Consequently, I support transferring USF accounts to the United States Treasury for the simple reason that we must take all appropriate measures to secure the integrity of the USF's funding source and avoid any unnecessary risks to the program.

As the Government Accountability Office (GAO) determined, the United States Treasury is the appropriate depository for USF accounts. GAO's report (GAO-17-538) specifically stated that USAC's banking practices for the USF result in oversight and accountability risks. The report favored our planned approach, which was first discussed by GAO in its 2005 report where it advised the Commission to label universal service monies as federal funds. At that time, GAO noted that the USF should be handled in a way consistent with existing law (see Miscellaneous Receipts Statute, 31 U.S.C. § 3302). The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) later agreed with this determination in a 2014 communication with the FCC.

In your letter, you mention a concern from some that moving USF funds to the Treasury could allow them to be used for other purposes. I understand and appreciate your concern about the future of the USF. Please be assured that I would never condone any actions that undermine the USF's financial stability. It would be impermissible for USF monies to be spent for any purpose other than universal service. The entity holding USF funds has no effect on the Fund's status as a permanent, indefinite appropriation.

It appears this confusion stems from GAO's somewhat oblique reference to the Treasury Offset Program authorized by 26 U.S.C. § 6402(d) and 31 U.S.C. § 3720A. The Treasury Offset Program, administered by the Bureau of the Fiscal Service's Debt Management Services, collects delinquent debts owed to federal agencies and states by individual entities. Keeping USF accounts within Treasury will allow the federal government to ensure that those with delinquent federal debts do not abscond with USF monies.

Page 2—The Honorable Doris Matsui

progress in effectuating the transfer to Treasury supported by GAO throughout the past decade and I look forward to completing this task within the next year.

Sincerely.

Ajit V. Pai



October 24, 2017

The Honorable Jerry McNerney U.S. House of Representatives 2265 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McNerney:

Thank you for your letter concerning the planned transfer of Universal Service Fund (USF) monies from a private bank to the Department of Treasury. As you are aware, I am fully committed to bridging the digital divide, and the USF is an essential component of our strategy for accomplishing that goal. Consequently, I support transferring USF accounts to the United States Treasury for the simple reason that we must take all appropriate measures to secure the integrity of the USF's funding source and avoid any unnecessary risks to the program.

As the Government Accountability Office (GAO) determined, the United States Treasury is the appropriate depository for USF accounts. GAO's report (GAO-17-538) specifically stated that USAC's banking practices for the USF result in oversight and accountability risks. The report favored our planned approach, which was first discussed by GAO in its 2005 report where it advised the Commission to label universal service monies as federal funds. At that time, GAO noted that the USF should be handled in a way consistent with existing law (see Miscellaneous Receipts Statute, 31 U.S.C. § 3302). The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) later agreed with this determination in a 2014 communication with the FCC.

In your letter, you mention a concern from some that moving USF funds to the Treasury could allow them to be used for other purposes. I understand and appreciate your concern about the future of the USF. Please be assured that I would never condone any actions that undermine the USF's financial stability. It would be impermissible for USF monies to be spent for any purpose other than universal service. The entity holding USF funds has no effect on the Fund's status as a permanent, indefinite appropriation.

It appears this confusion stems from GAO's somewhat oblique reference to the Treasury Offset Program authorized by 26 U.S.C. § 6402(d) and 31 U.S.C. § 3720A. The Treasury Offset Program, administered by the Bureau of the Fiscal Service's Debt Management Services, collects delinquent debts owed to federal agencies and states by individual entities. Keeping USF accounts within Treasury will allow the federal government to ensure that those with delinquent federal debts do not abscond with USF monies.

Page 2—The Honorable Jerry McNerney

progress in effectuating the transfer to Treasury supported by GAO throughout the past decade and I look forward to completing this task within the next year.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai



October 24, 2017

The Honorable Peter Welch U.S. House of Representatives 2303 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Welch:

Thank you for your letter concerning the planned transfer of Universal Service Fund (USF) monies from a private bank to the Department of Treasury. As you are aware, I am fully committed to bridging the digital divide, and the USF is an essential component of our strategy for accomplishing that goal. Consequently, I support transferring USF accounts to the United States Treasury for the simple reason that we must take all appropriate measures to secure the integrity of the USF's funding source and avoid any unnecessary risks to the program.

As the Government Accountability Office (GAO) determined, the United States Treasury is the appropriate depository for USF accounts. GAO's report (GAO-17-538) specifically stated that USAC's banking practices for the USF result in oversight and accountability risks. The report favored our planned approach, which was first discussed by GAO in its 2005 report where it advised the Commission to label universal service monies as federal funds. At that time, GAO noted that the USF should be handled in a way consistent with existing law (see Miscellaneous Receipts Statute, 31 U.S.C. § 3302). The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) later agreed with this determination in a 2014 communication with the FCC.

In your letter, you mention a concern from some that moving USF funds to the Treasury could allow them to be used for other purposes. I understand and appreciate your concern about the future of the USF. Please be assured that I would never condone any actions that undermine the USF's financial stability. It would be impermissible for USF monies to be spent for any purpose other than universal service. The entity holding USF funds has no effect on the Fund's status as a permanent, indefinite appropriation.

It appears this confusion stems from GAO's somewhat oblique reference to the Treasury Offset Program authorized by 26 U.S.C. § 6402(d) and 31 U.S.C. § 3720A. The Treasury Offset Program, administered by the Bureau of the Fiscal Service's Debt Management Services, collects delinquent debts owed to federal agencies and states by individual entities. Keeping USF accounts within Treasury will allow the federal government to ensure that those with delinquent federal debts do not abscond with USF monies.

Page 2—The Honorable Peter Welch

progress in effectuating the transfer to Treasury supported by GAO throughout the past decade and I look forward to completing this task within the next year.

Sincerely,

Ajit V. Pai