



PUBLIC NOTICE

**Federal Communications Commission
45 L Street NE
Washington, DC 20554**

**News Media Information 202 / 418-0500
Internet: <https://www.fcc.gov>**

DA 26-1

Released: January 2, 2026

STREAMLINED RESOLUTION OF REQUESTS RELATED TO ACTIONS BY THE UNIVERSAL SERVICE ADMINISTRATIVE COMPANY

**CC Docket No. 02-6
WC Docket No. 23-234
WC Docket No. 21-93
WC Docket No. 02-60
WC Docket No. 11-42**

Pursuant to our procedure for resolving requests for review, requests for waiver, and petitions for reconsideration of decisions related to actions taken by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) that are consistent with precedent (collectively, Requests), the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) grants, dismisses, or denies the following Requests.¹ The deadline for filing petitions for reconsideration or applications for review concerning the disposition of any of these Requests is 30 days from the release date of this Public Notice.²

Schools and Libraries (E-Rate)

CC Docket No. 02-6

Dismissed as Moot – USAC Took Requested Action³

Olentangy Local School District, OH, Application No. 241018591, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed July 17, 2025)

¹ See *Streamlined Process for Resolving Requests for Review of Decisions by the Universal Service Administrative Company*, CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 02-6, WC Docket Nos. 02-60, 06-122, 08-71, 10-90, 11-42, and 14-58, Public Notice, 29 FCC Rcd 11094 (WCB 2014). Sections 54.719(b), 54.1718(a)(1), and 54.2012(b)(2) of the Commission's rules provide that any person aggrieved by an action taken by a division of USAC, after first seeking review at USAC, may seek review from the Commission. Sections 54.719(c), 54.1718(a)(3), and 54.2012(a)(3) of the Commission's rules provide that parties seeking waivers of the Commission's rules shall seek review directly from the Commission. In this Public Notice, we have reclassified as Requests for Waiver any appeals seeking review of a USAC decision that appropriately should have requested a waiver of the Commission's rules. Similarly, we have reclassified as Requests for Review any appeals seeking a waiver of the Commission's rules but that are, in fact, seeking review of a USAC decision.

² See 47 CFR §§ 1.106(f), 1.115(d); see also 47 CFR § 1.4(b)(2) (setting forth the method for computing the amount of time within which persons or entities must act in response to deadlines established by the Commission).

³ See, e.g., *Requests for Review and/or Requests for Waiver of the Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Al Noor High School et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 27 FCC Rcd 8223, 8224, para. 2 (WCB 2012) (*Al Noor High School Order*) (dismissing as moot requests for review where USAC has taken the requested action).

Dismissed for Failure to Comply with the Commission’s Basic Filing Requirements⁴

Caroline Education Community International Public School Academy (d/b/a Caroline Education Community International Private School Academy), MS, Application No. 251042130, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 24, 2025)

Golden View Classical Academy Inc., CO, No Application Number Given, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed May 28, 2025)

Valley Voc-Tech Center, VA, Application Nos. 251032260, 241026307, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 12, 2025)

Dismissed to Allow Appeal to be Filed with USAC⁵

Solare Collegiate Charter School, NM, Application Nos. 251004193, 251042689, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 14, 2025)

Dismissed Petitions for Reconsideration⁶

⁴ 47 CFR § 54.721 (setting forth general filing requirements for requests for review of decisions issued by USAC, including the requirement that the request for review include supporting documentation); *see also Wireline Competition Bureau Reminds Parties of Requirements for Request for Review of Decisions by the Universal Service Administrative Company*, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 02-6, WC Docket Nos. 02-60, 06-122, 10-90, 11-42, 13-184, 14-58, Public Notice, 29 FCC Rcd 13874 (WCB 2014) (reminding parties submitting appeals to the Bureau of the general filing requirements contained in the Commission’s rules which, along with a proper caption and reference to the applicable docket number, require (1) a statement setting forth the party’s interest in the matter presented for review; (2) a full statement of relevant, material facts with supporting affidavits and documentation; (3) the question presented for review, with reference, where appropriate, to the relevant Commission rule, order or statutory provision; and (4) a statement of the relief sought and the relevant statutory or regulatory provision pursuant to which such relief is sought); *Universal Service Contribution Methodology; Request for Review by Alternative Phone, Inc. and Request for Waiver*, WC Docket No. 06-122, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 6079 (WCB 2011) (dismissing without prejudice a request for review that failed to meet the requirements of section 54.721 of the Commission’s rules).

⁵ *See Petitions for Reconsideration by Little Falls Township School District et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order on Reconsideration, 38 FCC Rcd 1100, 1104, para. 10 (WCB 2023) (*Little Falls Township Order*) (dismissing without prejudice appeals that are filed with the Commission before being reviewed at USAC and waiving the 60-day appeal filing deadline to allow the party to refile its appeal at USAC and have it considered on the merits).

Parties seeking review of USAC decisions must first file an appeal with USAC. *See* 47 CFR § 54.719(a). Because the petitioner filed its appeal with the Commission first, we now provide the school district 60 days from the release date of this Public Notice to refile its appeal at USAC. Pursuant to the *Little Falls Township Order*, we also waive the 60-day appeal filing deadline, 47 CFR § 54.720(a), to allow the appeals to be considered on the merits by USAC without being considered late. *See Little Falls Township Order*, 38 FCC Rcd at 1104, para. 10. Appeals should be filed in the E-Rate Productivity Center portal, found here: [EPC](#).

⁶ *See, e.g., Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Allan Shivers Library et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 29 FCC Rcd 10356, 10357, para. 2 (WCB 2014) (*Allan Shivers Library Order*) (dismissing petitions for reconsideration that fail to identify any material error, omission, or reason warranting reconsideration, and rely on arguments that have been fully considered and rejected by the Bureau within the same proceeding).

Alpena County George N. Fletcher Public Library, MI, Application No. 241040604, Petition for Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 22, 2025)⁷

Andalusia School, NY, Application Nos. 1043085, 1043183, 231036617, Petition for Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 6, 2025)⁸

Aspen Community School Consortium, CO, Application No. 241039613, Petition for Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 30, 2025)

Bais Ruevan Kaminetz of Lakewood, NJ, Application No. 231038684, Petition for Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed June 12, 2025)

Bayou Academy, MS, Application No. 251043374, Petition for Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 17, 2025)

Calvary Chapel Christian School, PA, Application No. 251043301, Petition for Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 24, 2025)

La Vida Mission School, NM, Application No. 251043386, Petition for Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 8, 2025)

Pikes Peak Library District, CO, Application No. 251043017, Petition for Reconsideration, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 29, 2025)⁹

⁷ Alpena County George N. Fletcher Public Library's last petition for reconsideration was denied because it was late-filed. *See Streamlined Resolution of Requests Related to Actions by the Universal Service Administrative Company*, CC Docket No. 02-6, WC Docket Nos. 21-93, 02-60, 10-90, 09-197, 11-42, Public Notice, DA 25-648, n.8 (WCB rel. Aug. 1, 2025) (*August 2025 USF Streamlined Public Notice*). The library does not address this issue in the petition for reconsideration filing currently before the Bureau. In any event, the Commission is barred from waiving or extending the filing period because the time period for filing petitions for reconsideration is prescribed by statute. *See infra* note 9.

⁸ Due to a lapse in government funding, the Commission suspended most operations from October 1, 2025 through November 12, 2025, making certain electronic filing and database systems unavailable. Because of this, the Commission extended the deadline for all filings that would have been due during the lapse in funding. We therefore consider Andalusia School's petition for consideration timely, even though it was not filed within 30 days of the Bureau's previous decision. *See Revisions to Deadlines Following Resumption of Normal Operations*, Public Notice, DA 25-943 (rel. Nov. 17, 2025). We are dismissing Andalusia School's petition for reconsideration for failing to identify any material error, omission, or reason warranting reconsideration, and rely on arguments that have been fully considered and rejected by the Bureau within the same proceeding. *See supra* note 6.

⁹ Pikes Peak Library District seeks reconsideration of the Bureau's decision denying the library district's first petition for reconsideration because the petitioner failed to file its first petition for reconsideration within 30 days of the initial Bureau decision, as required under the Communications Act of 1934 and the Commission's rules. *See* 47 U.S.C. § 405(a); 47 CFR § 1.106(f) (requiring petitions for reconsideration to be filed within 30 days of an adverse decision); *Streamlined Resolution of Requests Related to Actions by the Universal Service Administrative Company*, CC Docket No. 02-6, WC Docket Nos. 23-234, 02-60, 06-122, Public Notice, DA 25-874, n.7 (WCB rel. Sept. 30, 2025) (*September 2025 USF Streamlined Public Notice*). Because the time period for filing petitions for reconsideration is prescribed by statute, the Commission may not waive or extend the filing period. *See Reuters Ltd. v. FCC*, 781 F.2d 946, 952 (D.C. Cir. 1986) ("[W]e conclude that the Commission acted beyond its lawful authority when it entertained the belated petition for reconsideration."); *see also Application of Metromedia Inc.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 56 FCC 2d 909 (1975) (Commission may not waive 30-day filing period to accept a petition for reconsideration filed one day late); *Applications of Fortuna Systems Corp.*, Order on Reconsideration, 3 FCC Rcd 5122, 5123 (Com. Car. Bur. 1988). Only in extremely unusual circumstances where the "petitioner can show that its failure to file in a ... timely manner resulted from 'extraordinary circumstances

(continued....)

Granted¹⁰

*Competitive Bidding – Waiver of the Price as Primary Factor Rule*¹¹

Nampa School District 131, ID, Application No. 251026018, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed July 31, 2025)

*Discount Calculation Inconsistency*¹²

Frankfort Community Public Library, IN, Application Nos. 251025279, 251026117, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 21, 2025)

indicating that justice would thus be served,” can the 30-day deadline be waived. *Gardner v. FCC*, 530 F.2d 1086 (D.C. Cir. 1976) (allowing a waiver of the 30-day filing deadline because the late filing was substantially due to the Commission’s failure to give personal notice of the decision). We find that Pikes Peak Library District’s consultant had ample notice of the Bureau’s denial and we now dismiss its second petition for reconsideration. In this instance, Pikes Peak Library District argues that it missed the 30-day deadline because the copy of the decision, sent via email from the Bureau to the E-Rate consultant, did not contain the name of the library, Pikes Peak Library District, in the subject line, only the name of a separate library the consultant represented followed by an “et al.,” representing the other four appeals resolved in the public notice filed by the consultant. We note that in addition to the email notice, the public notice was also published in the June 3, 2025 Federal Communications Commission Daily Digest, <https://www.fcc.gov/edocs/daily-digest/2025/06/03>, and available on the Commission’s E-Rate webpage, <https://www.fcc.gov/general/e-rate-schools-libraries-usf-program#daily-releases>. Section 0.445 of the Commission’s rules requires the Bureau to provide a copy of the decision to the consultant who was the party of record for the matter. The Bureau provide proper notice and the fact that the consultant did not review the email or decision is not grounds for granting a waiver of the Commission’s rules.

¹⁰ We remand these applications to USAC and direct USAC to complete its review of the applications and issue a funding decision based on a complete review and analysis, no later than 90 calendar days from the release date of this Public Notice. In remanding these applications to USAC, we make no finding as to the ultimate eligibility of the equipment/services or the petitioners’ applications. We also waive sections 54.507(d) and 54.514(a) of the Commission’s rules and direct USAC to waive any procedural deadline that might be necessary to effectuate our ruling. *See* 47 CFR § 54.507(d) (requiring non-recurring services to be implemented by September 30 following the close of the funding year); 47 CFR § 54.514(a) (codifying the invoice filing deadline rule).

¹¹ *See, e.g., Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Allendale County School District et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 6109, 6115-17, paras. 10-12 (WCB 2011) (*Allendale County School District Order*) (waiving the requirement that an applicant be able to demonstrate that it used price as the primary factor in its vendor selection when the applicant selected the lowest-priced option and there was no evidence of waste, fraud or abuse).

¹² 47 CFR § 54.505(b)(2) (providing that “the level of poverty shall be based on the percentage of the student enrollment that is eligible for a free or reduced school lunch under the national school lunch program … in the public school district in which they are located and should use that school district’s level of poverty to determine their discount rate”); *see also, e.g., Requests for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Academia Claret.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 21 FCC Rcd 10703, 10709, para. 12, n.37 (WCB 2006) (*Academia Claret Order*) (adjusting the discount rate for libraries demonstrating that their local public school district was receiving a higher discount rate).

Consistent with precedent, we also find good cause exists to waive section 54.720(a) and (b) of the Commission’s rules, which requires that petitioners file their appeals within 60 days of an adverse USAC decision. *See, e.g., Requests for Review and/or Waiver of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by ABC Unified School District, et al.*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 11019, para. 2 (WCB 2011) (*ABC Unified School District Order*) (waiving the filing deadline for petitioners that submitted their appeals within a reasonable period of time after receiving actual notice of USAC’s adverse decision).

Granting Additional Time to Respond to USAC with Information During Invoicing¹³

The King's Daughters' School, TN, Application No. 241007295, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 14, 2025)

Late-Filed Appeal or Waiver – Submitted Only a Few Days Late¹⁴

Carbon County School District No. 1, WY, Application No. 161040670, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 29, 2025)

Ministerial and Clerical Error – Wrong Category of Service on FCC Form 471¹⁵

New Ulm School District 88, MN, Application No. 251015332, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 6, 2025)

Okeene Public Schools, OK, Application No. 251034411, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 31, 2025)

Ministerial and Clerical Error – Invoicing¹⁶

Troy Area School District, NY, Application No. 241037708, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 29, 2025)

Permissible Implementation Delay¹⁷

¹³ See, e.g., *Requests for Review and/or Waiver of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Accomack County Public School et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, FCC Rcd 330, 338, para. 14 (WCB 2023) (*Accomack County Public School Order*) (granting applicants' requests for review that were denied funding during invoicing because they failed to respond to USAC's request for information within the USAC-specified time frame).

¹⁴ See, e.g., *ABC Unified School District Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 11019, para. 2 (waiving the appeal and request for waiver filing deadline for petitioners that submitted their requests to the Commission or USAC only a few days late). We remand these applications to USAC and direct USAC to complete its review of the applications and issue a funding decision based on a complete review and analysis within 90 calendar days from the release date of this public notice. *See also supra* note 10.

¹⁵ See, e.g., *Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Ann Arbor Public Schools, et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 25 FCC Rcd 17319, 17320, n.20 (WCB 2010) (*Ann Arbor Public Schools Order*) (granting a waiver where the applicant selected the wrong category of service on its FCC Form 471). Consistent with precedent, we also grant a waiver of the appeal filing deadline. *See, e.g., ABC Unified School District Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 11019, para. 2 (waiving the filing deadline for petitioners that submitted their appeals to the Commission within a reasonable period after receiving actual notice of USAC's adverse decision).

¹⁶ See *Accomack County Public School Order*, 38 FCC Rcd at 334-35, 337, paras. 8, 12 (granting a waiver where the E-Rate invoice filer inadvertently requested an invoice filing deadline extension for the wrong funding request number).

¹⁷ See, e.g., *Request for Review/Waiver of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Accelerated Charter et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 29 FCC Rcd 13652, 13652-3, para. 2 (WCB 2014) (*Accelerated Charter Order*) (granting late-filed extensions of the service implementation deadline when petitioners demonstrate they were unable to complete implementation on time for reasons beyond the service providers' control and made significant efforts to secure the necessary extensions in a timely manner).

(continued....)

Wardlaw-Hartridge School, NJ, Application No. 241032336, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 4, 2025)

Permitting Timely Submission of FCC Form 500¹⁸

Illinois Department of Innovation and Technology Consortium, IL, Application No. 241023271, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Sept. 26, 2025)

USAC Decision Issued After Invoice Filing Deadline¹⁹

Concord Public Schools, MA, Application No. 231017399, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Feb. 27, 2025, supplemented Nov. 12, 2025)

Dover City Schools, OH, Application No. 241027616, Request for waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 30, 2025)

Morgan County School District R 1, MO, Application No. 241003927, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 17, 2025)

Orange Board of Education, NJ, Application Nos. 231027194, 231038612, Request for Waiver, CC Docket Mo. 02-6 (filed Oct. 22, 2025)

Waiver of Special Construction Service Delivery Deadline²⁰

Consistent with precedent, we also find good cause exists to waive section 54.720(a) and (b) of the Commission's rules, which requires that petitioners file their appeals within 60 days of an adverse USAC decision. *See ABC Unified School District Order*, 26 FCC Rcd at 11019, para. 2 (waiving the filing deadline for petitioners that submitted their appeals within a reasonable period of time after receiving actual notice of USAC's adverse decision).

¹⁸ USAC denied the service delivery deadline extension for a special construction funding request because the FCC Form 500 was filed late, even though a representative from the Illinois Department of Innovation and Technology Consortium contacted USAC about a certification issue before the deadline. Consistent with precedent, we find that the petitioner attempted in good faith to comply with Commission rules in a timely manner in seeking an extension of the deadline. *See Requests for Waiver of the Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Grants/Cibola County School District and Jemez Pueblo Tribal Consortium*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 33 FCC Rcd 10048, 10051, para. 8 (WCB 2018) (*Grants/Cibola County School District Order*) (allowing the processing of a special construction service delivery deadline extension when the petitioner made good faith efforts to comply with Commission rules and procedures). We are only granting this narrow request regarding the timing of an FCC Form 500 to allow the form to be considered timely for USAC processing. As the service provider has completed the work and needed the FCC Form 500 processed to be able to invoice for the completed services, we are not waiving the special construction service delivery deadline for this funding request.

¹⁹ 47 CFR § 54.514(a)(3); *see also Modernizing the E-Rate Program for Schools and Libraries*, WC Docket No. 13-184, Order, 35 FCC Rcd 14426, 14431, para. 15 (2020) (*2020 Invoicing Rule Modification Order*) (authorizing the Bureau to grant a waiver in instances where a program participant was unable to timely submit an invoice because they were awaiting a post-commitment decision from USAC, or received a decision approving a post-commitment request or granting an appeal of a previously denied or reduced funding request after the invoice filing deadline had passed).

²⁰ *See, e.g., Grants/Cibola County School District Order*, 33 FCC Rcd at 10051, para. 8 (waiving the special construction service delivery deadline because the applicant was unable to complete implementation for reasons beyond the service provider's control and the petitioner made good faith efforts to comply with Commission rules and procedures).

We find that North Central Consortium was unable to complete implementation for reasons beyond its control and made good faith efforts to comply with Commission rules and procedures. We waive the special construction

(continued....)

North Central Consortium, NM, Application No. 201026229, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed June 12, 2025)

Denied

*Competitive Bidding – No FCC Form 470 Filed*²¹

Bayamón Military Academy, PR, Application No. 251031548, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Aug. 1, 2025)

*Competitive Bidding – Violation of the 28-Day Rule*²²

Appalachia Intermediate Unit 8, PA, Application No. 241015744, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed July 15, 2025)²³

*Late-Filed FCC Form 471 Applications*²⁴

Guardian Angels Central Catholic, NE, No Application Number Given, Request for Waiver, CC

service delivery deadline and direct USAC to provide the applicant until June 30, 2026 to complete its special construction project. We further note that because the special construction service delivery deadline has been extended three times for North Central Consortium for this FY 2020 application, we do not anticipate granting another waiver of the service delivery deadline for this funding request. If additional time is needed for the remaining portion(s) of this build, North Central Consortium should submit funding application(s) for the portion(s) of the build that cannot be completed by the June 30, 2026 deadline. *See Streamlined Resolution of Requests Related to Actions by the Universal Service Administrative Company*, CC Docket No. 02-6, WC Docket Nos. 21-93, 10-90, 02-60, 18-213, Public Notice, DA 22-575, n.11 (WCB rel. May 31, 2022) (*May 2022 USF Streamlined Public Notice*).

We also waive any associated administrative or procedural deadlines, including the invoice filing deadline, that might be necessary to effectuate our ruling. *See supra* note 10.

²¹ *See* 47 CFR § 54.504(c) (stating that applicants must seek competitive bids for E-Rate eligible services by posting a Form 470 and waiting at least 28 days before entering into a contract for services); *Application for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Aberdeen School District, et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 22 FCC Rcd 8757, 8763, para. 10 (2007) (*Aberdeen School District Order*) (denying an appeal for an applicant that requested E-Rate program funds without posting a new FCC Form 470 for the services).

²² *See, e.g., Requests for Review &/or Waiver of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Al-Ihsan Academy et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 16415, 16418, para. 9 (WCB 2011) (*Al-Ihsan Academy Order*) (denying appeals where the applicant violated the 28-day rule by missing the deadline by more than three days, thereby preventing their requests for services to be competitively bid for a meaningful period of time).

²³ According to the documentation submitted on appeal, Appalachia Intermediate Unit 8 filed its FCC Form 471 application and then conducted its competitive bidding process and entered into an agreement, preventing the requests for services on the FCC Form 471 at issue to be competitively bid in accordance with the Commission's rules.

²⁴ *See, e.g., Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Academy of Math and Science et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 25 FCC Rcd 9256, 9261-62, para. 13 (2010) (*Academy of Math and Science Order*) (denying waivers of the FCC Form 471 application filing deadline where petitioners failed to present special circumstances justifying a waiver of the Commission's rules).

Docket No. 02-6 (filed Sept. 15, 2025)²⁵

Legal Prep Charter Academy, IL, Application No. 251032885, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 10, 2025)

Milton Town School District, VT, Application No. 251043358, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 22, 2025)²⁶

North Wales Area Library, PA, Application No. 251043571, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 4, 2025)

St. Bernadette School, OH, Application No. 251043469, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 6, 2025)

St. Dominic Savio Catholic High School, TX, Application No. 251043637, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 5, 2025)

*Late-Filed FCC Form 486*²⁷

Cathedral Preparatory Seminary, NY, Application Nos. 201041558, 201041570, Request for Review, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 5, 2025)

*Late-Filed Invoice or Invoice Deadline Extension*²⁸

American Internet Group, LLC (Detroit Edison Public School Academy, New Paradigm Loving Academy), MI, Application Nos. 221034141, 221037364, 221038577, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 9, 2025)

Comcast Business Communications, LLC (CENIC-Corporation For Education Network Initiatives In California), CA, Application No. 231022801, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No.

²⁵ While the request for waiver from the petitioner did not reference an FCC Form 471 number, there are two Guardian Angels Central Catholic FCC Form 471s that were filed outside the window. Application numbers 251043522 and 251043406 were filed outside the window on October 14, 2025 and September 5, 2025, respectively.

²⁶ Due to a lapse in government funding, the Commission suspended most operations from October 1, 2025 through November 12, 2025, making certain electronic filing and database systems unavailable. Because of this, the Commission extended the deadline for all filings that would have been due during the lapse in funding. We therefore consider Milton Town School District's waiver timely, even though it was not filed within 60 days of USAC's decision. *See Revisions to Deadlines Following Resumption of Normal Operations*, Public Notice, DA 25-943 (Rel. Nov. 17, 2025). We deny the waiver request, however, on the merits. *See supra* note 24.

²⁷ *See, e.g., Requests for Waiver of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Archdiocese of New Orleans et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 31 FCC Rcd 11747, 11750, para. 10 (WCB 2016) (*Archdiocese of New Orleans Order*) (granting relief only for late-filed FCC Forms 486 that were filed no later than 120 days after the last day to receive service for the funding request at issue and where the applicants have demonstrated good cause for the late filing).

²⁸ *See, e.g., Requests for Waiver of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Ada School District et al., Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 31 FCC Rcd 3834, 3836, para. 7 (WCB 2016) (*Ada School District Order*) (denying petitioners' waiver requests for invoice filing deadline extensions who failed to seek an extension before the invoice filing deadline and did not demonstrate extraordinary circumstances to justify waiving the Commission's rules).

02-6 (filed Oct. 21, 2025)²⁹

Hillbrook School, CA, Application No. 231024412, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 21, 2025)

Hillside School District, NJ, Application No. 221014315, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 5, 2025)

Los Angeles Public Library, CA, Application Nos. 201022660, 201026319, 201029736, 201030415, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 13, 2025)

Untimely Filed Appeals or Waiver Requests³⁰

DataVizion LLC (Knoxville Community Schools), IA, Application No. 221029310, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Oct. 13, 2025)³¹

Enterprise Computing Services, LLC (Winnsboro Independent School District), TX, Application No. 241035111, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 4, 2025)

International School of Denver, CO, Application No. 251043397, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 8, 2025)

²⁹ The service provider is seeking an invoice filing deadline extension because it claims a ministerial and clerical error led to its failure to seek full reimbursement on a timely-filed invoice. Comcast Business Communications, LLC, which filed the FCC Form 474, the Service Provider Invoice (SPI) form, said eligible funding was left off the SPI form because the applicant failed to provide “information about the connections associated with one of the two account numbers, inadvertently excluding the connections associated with the second account number.” This explanation does not meet the definition of an invoice clerical or ministerial error, defined as errors one would make on an invoice “when entering data from one list to another, such as mistyping a number, using the wrong name or phone number, failing to enter an item from the source list onto the application, or making an arithmetical error.” *See Accomack County Public School Order*, FCC Rcd at 332, para. 4. Because this is not a ministerial or clerical error and Comcast Business Communications, LLC did not demonstrate extraordinary circumstances to justify waiving the Commission’s invoice filing deadline rule in this instance, we deny the waiver request. *See also supra* note 28.

³⁰ *See, e.g., Requests for Review of the Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Agra Public Schools I-134; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 25 FCC Rcd 5684, 5688, para. 6 (WCB 2010) (*Agra Public Schools Order*); *Requests for Waiver or Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Bound Brook School District; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 29 FCC Rcd 5823, 5823, para. 1 (WCB 2014) (*Bound Brook School District Order*) (denying requests for review and/or waiver on the grounds that the petitioners failed to: (1) submit their appeals either to the Commission or to USAC within 60 days or failed to submit their waiver requests to the Commission within 60 days as required by section 54. 720(a) and (b) of the Commission’s rules; and (2) did not demonstrate special circumstances required for the Commission to waive the rule).

³¹ DataVizion LLC said its appeal was filed late because it took time to determine why its invoice was denied “due to the complexity of BMIC licenses” resulting from a USAC error. The Commission has consistently held that general petitioner confusion does not constitute grounds for waiver of the appeal-filing deadline and that the filer must assume responsibility for timely submission of its appeal if it wishes its appeal to be considered on the merits. *See, e.g., Requests for Review of Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Inland Lakes Schools; Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc.*, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 97-21, Order, 17 FCC Rcd 15756, 15757-58, para. 6 (WCB 2002) (*Inland Lakes Schools Order*). We also find that USAC did not commit an error with respect to Knoxville Community Schools’ application. The application reflected the services that the school district sought bids on, which were licenses in the internal connections category. *See* Knoxville Community School District Funding Year 2022 FCC Form 470, No. 220021059, filed Feb. 14, 2022.

Rosenbaum Yeshiva of North Jersey, NJ, Application No. 231014449, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 7, 2025)

Shell Knob School District, MO, Application No. 251042937, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 6, 2025)

Tazewell County School District, VA, Application No. 251002767, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Nov. 21, 2025)³²

Walnut Springs Independent School District, TX, Application No. 251001618, Request for Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed Dec. 5, 2025)

Schools and Libraries Cybersecurity Pilot Program

WC Docket No. 23-234

Dismissed as Moot – USAC Took Requested Action³³

Trico Community Unit School District 176, IL, FCC Form 484 No. CBR202500271-2, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 23-234 (filed Sept. 17, 2025)

Denied

*Untimely Filed Appeals or Waiver Requests*³⁴

Geff Community Consolidated School District 14, IL, No Application Filed, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 23-234 (filed Dec. 4, 2025)

Hoover-Schrum Memorial School District 157, IL, Application No. CBR253000948, Request for

³² Tazewell County School District has not provided evidence demonstrating that the issue it raises involved a ministerial or clerical error. *See, e.g., Schools and Libraries Universal Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 6487, 6489, para. 5 (2011) (“[Clerical and ministerial] errors include only the kinds of errors that a typist might make when entering data from one list to another, such as mistyping a number, using the wrong name or phone number, failing to enter an item from the source list onto the application, or making an arithmetic error.”); *Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Assabet Valley Regional Vocational District; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 27 FCC Rcd 1924, 1925, para. 1 (WCB 2012) (*Assabet Valley Regional Vocational District Order*) (finding petitioners had not demonstrated good cause to justify waivers permitting changes to the applicants’ E-Rate applications).

³³ *See, e.g., Al Noor High School Order*, 27 FCC Rcd at 8224, para. 2 (dismissing as moot requests for review or waiver where USAC took the requested action).

³⁴ 47 CFR § 54.2012(b)(2) (requiring parties seeking a waiver of the Commission rules to file the request for review or waiver within 30 days of the deadline). We find that the Petitioners did not file a request for waiver within 30 days of the deadline, September 15, 2025, and did not demonstrate special circumstances needed to justify a waiver of the Commission’s rules. *See* 47 CFR § 1.3; *NetworkIP, LLC v. FCC*, 548 F.3d 116, 125-128 (D.C. Cir. 2008) (*NetworkIP LLC*); *Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC*, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (*Northeast Cellular Telephone*) (finding that a waiver of the Commission’s rules is only appropriate if both (i) special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and (ii) such deviation will serve the public interest.). On the merits, we also find that Petitioners were provided 181 days (i.e., 6 months) to complete and submit their Cybersecurity Pilot FCC Form 471 and we do not find the Petitioners provided the justification required to waive or extend this deadline.

Waiver, WC Docket No. 23-234 (filed Dec. 2, 2025)

Messmer Catholic Schools, WI, No Application Filed, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 23-234 (filed Dec. 16, 2025)

New Brunswick School District, NJ, No Application Filed, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 23-234 (filed Dec. 4, 2025)³⁵

The Academy for Urban Scholars Consortium, OH, Application No. CBR253000979, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 23-234 (filed Dec. 4, 2025)

Wayne County School District, MS, Application No. CBR253000895, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 23-234 (filed Dec. 9, 2025)

Worcester Public Schools, MA, Application Nos. CBR253000967 and CBR253000968, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 23-234 (filed Dec. 17, 2025)

*Late-Filed FCC Form 471 Applications*³⁶

East Alton-Wood River H.S., IL, No Application Filed, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 23-234 (filed Sept. 11, 2025)

Harlandale Independent School District, TX, Application No. CBR253000962, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 23-234 (filed Sept. 25, 2025)

New England Children's Center, MA, Application No. CBR253000950, Request For Waiver, WC Docket No. 23-234 (filed Sept. 16, 2025)

St. Joseph County Public Library, IN, Application No. CBR253000460, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 23-234 (filed Sept. 24, 2025)

Stockton Unified School District, CA, Application No. CBR253000793, Request for Waiver, WC

³⁵ The Petitioner claims that it received incorrect information from USAC and thus, did not file the Pilot FCC Form 470 or Pilot FCC Form 471 by the deadline. On January 16, 2025, the Bureau announced selection of the Pilot participants and provided information on the next steps, including the opening of the Pilot FCC Form 471 application filing window, and requiring that the FCC Form 484 Part 2 and the Pilot FCC Form 471 be submitted by September 15, 2025. *See Wireline Competition Bureau Announces Selection of Cybersecurity Pilot Program Participants and Provides Additional Information Regarding Program Requirements*, WC Docket No. 23-235, Public Notice, DA 24-53, at 8-11 (WCB Jan. 16, 2025). Although the Petitioner states it received incorrect information from USAC, it is the responsibility of the participant to know the rules of the program. *See Application for Review of a Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Challis Joint School District*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 26 FCC Rcd 3812, 3814, para. 5 (WCB 2011) (*Challis Joint School District Order*) (“We have consistently held that where a party receives erroneous advice, the government is not estopped from enforcing its rules in a manner that is inconsistent with the advice provided by the employee, particularly when relief is contrary to a rule . . . it is administratively necessary to place on the applicant the ultimate responsibility of complying with all relevant rules and procedures.”).

³⁶ 47 CFR § 1.3; *see also NetworkIP, LLC*, 548 F.3d at 125-128; *Northeast Cellular Telephone*, 897 F.2d at 1166 (finding that waiver of the Commission's rules is only appropriate if both (i) special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and (ii) such deviation will serve the public interest.). Cybersecurity Pilot participants were provided from March 18, 2025 to September 15, 2025 (i.e., 181 days or six months) to file a Cybersecurity Pilot FCC Form 471, this window is substantially longer than the E-Rate application filing window period. The Petitioners have not provided justification as to why they were not able to file the form within this six month period, nor have they demonstrated that special circumstances were met to justify extending this deadline.

Docket No. 23-234 (filed Sept. 30, 2025)

Emergency Connectivity Fund Program
WC Docket No. 21-93

Granted

*Early Delivery*³⁷

Richmond Heights Local School District, OH, Application No. ECF202208577, Request for Review and/or Waiver, WC Docket No. 21-93 (filed July 9, 2025, supplemented July 31, 2025)

*Granting on Reconsideration – Waiver of the ECF Invoice Filing Deadline*³⁸

Jefferson County School District, MS, Application No. ECF202207351, Petition for Reconsideration, WC Docket No. 21-93 (filed Sept. 30, 2025)

Denied

*Ineligible Entity*³⁹

Faith Christian Academy, VA, Application No. ECF202200293, Request for Review, WC Docket No. 21-93 (filed Feb. 22, 2024)

³⁷ See, e.g., *Streamlined Resolution of Requests Related to Actions by the Universal Service Administrative Company*, CC Docket No. 02-6, WC Docket Nos. 21-93, 06-122, Public Notice, 37 FCC Rcd 7445, 7459, n.25 (WCB 2022) (*June 2022 USF Streamlined Public Notice*) (granting requests for waivers for equipment delivered before the start of the funding period for the ECF program).

³⁸ See, e.g., *Requests for Waiver by Bluum USA Inc et al.; Establishing Emergency Connectivity Fund to Close the Homework Gap*, WC Docket No. 21-93, Order, 39 FCC 1049, 1051-52, paras. 9 (WCB 2024) (extending the ECF program's invoice filing deadline for funding requests by 60 days because the applicant or service provider was unable to timely file due to additional time needed to gather invoices).

³⁹ See, e.g., *Requests for Waiver and Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by CCRC Community Link et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 27 FCC Rcd 5326, para. 1 (WCB 2012) (*CCRC Community Link Order*) (denying support to entities that failed to show that they were eligible for E-Rate support); *Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Beginning With Children Charter School and Yeshiva Karlin-Stolin; Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service; Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc.*, CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21, Order, 18 FCC Rcd 936, 940, para. 11 (CCB 2003) (*Beginning With Children Charter School and Yeshiva Karlin-Stolin Order*) (emphasizing that the ultimate burden of proof remains on the applicant). Consistent with the Commission's decision to adopt the similar appeal and waiver rules that govern all of the Universal Service Fund programs, including the E-Rate program, and to leverage existing E-Rate processes and forms in the Emergency Connectivity Fund program we rely on E-Rate program precedent to resolve these petitions for waiver of the Emergency Connectivity Fund program rules. The creation of a school profile in the E-Rate Productivity Center does not demonstrate eligibility as USAC verifies eligibility after the profile is created. The applicant is located in the Commonwealth of Virginia and as such evidence of school eligibility under state law would best be shown through documentation from the Commonwealth of Virginia or the Virginia Council for Private Education (VCPE), which was not provided. (VCPE is the sole accrediting authority for private nursery, preschool, elementary and secondary schools recognized by the Commonwealth of Virginia. For such a school to be recognized as accredited by VCPE, it must meet and maintain VCPE's Standards of Accreditation.) The Petitioner was not accredited by VCPE and did not provide other documentation from the Commonwealth of Virginia to demonstrate it was an eligible school under Virginia state law.

Untimely-Filed Appeals or Waiver Requests⁴⁰

Calvary Christian School, IL, Application No. ECF202207378, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 21-93 (filed Aug. 13, 2025)

T-Mobile USA, Inc. (Detroit Public Schools), MI, Application No. ECF202102838, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 21-93 (filed Dec. 23, 2024)

Torah Academy, IL, Application No. ECF202200649, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 21-93 (filed June 24, 2025)

Rural Health Care Program
WC Docket No. 02-60

Granted

Rescission of Commitment Adjustments for Funding Year 2017⁴¹

University of Texas Health Science Center at Tyler, TX, Request for Review and Waiver, CC Docket No. 02-60, Funding Request Nos. 17268541, 17268561, 17268581, 17268611, 17268651, 17268661, 17268671, 17268691, 17268721, 17268751, 17268771, 17268781, 17268791, 17268811, 17268851, 17265171, 17270301, 17270321, 17270341, 17270361, 17270381, 17270411, 17270431, 17270461, 17270471, 17270481, 17270521, 17270561 (filed Aug. 22, 2024)

Rural Rates⁴²

⁴⁰ See, e.g., *Agra Public Schools Order*, 25 FCC Rcd at 5688, para. 6; *Bound Brook School District Order*, 29 FCC Rcd at, 5823, para. 1 (denying requests for review and/or waiver on the grounds that the petitioners failed to: (1) submit their appeals either to the Commission or to USAC within 60 days or failed to submit their waiver requests to the Commission within 60 days as required by the Commission's rules; and (2) did not demonstrate special circumstances required for the Commission to waive the rule). We note that the Commission adopted a shortened waiver and appeal timeframe of 30 days in the Emergency Connectivity Fund Program due to the short-term, emergency nature of the program. See 47 CFR § 54.1718; *Emergency Connectivity Fund Report and Order*, 36 FCC Rcd at 8746, para. 107. As such, requests for waiver of ECF Program rules that are filed more than 30 days after a deadline or decision will be denied. For Calvary Christian School, we note that applicants requesting devices for anticipated future enrollment beyond the funding period would be in violation of program requirements to prevent warehousing and reimbursing for devices that were not being used. See, e.g., 47 CFR 54.1711(a)(1)(viii); *Emergency Connectivity Fund Report and Order*, 36 FCC Rcd at 8726, para. 58. For T-Mobile and Torah Academy, we note that the Bureau granted requests for waiver for these applications in January and USAC extended the associated invoice deadline filing dates, but neither party invoiced. See *Streamlined Resolution of Requests Related to Actions by the Universal Service Administrative Company*, CC Docket No. 02-6 et al., Public Notice, 40 FCC Rcd 1, 9, n.29 (WCB 2025) (January 2025 USF Streamlined Public Notice).

⁴¹ See *Requests for Review and Waiver of Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Peoples Communications, Inc.*, Order, DA 25-777, 2025 WL 2496695 (WCB Aug. 28, 2025) (conducting a *de novo* review of alleged conflicts of interest centered around CFT Filings, LLC, (CFT Filings) for funding year 2017 and finding insufficient basis to conclude that the competitive bidding process was not fair and open). Based on similar facts cited in the August Order, we again find insufficient basis to conclude that the competitive bidding process conducted by the University of Texas Health Science Center at Tyler was corrupted by the engagement of CFT Filings as the applicant's consultant. We direct USAC to rescind the Commitment Adjustment Letters dated December 29, 2023, and remand the funding requests to USAC for processing in accordance with standard RHC Program rules and procedures.

⁴² See *Promoting Telehealth in Rural America*, WC Docket No. 17-310, Order on Reconsideration, Second Report (continued....)

Igiugig Clinic, Pedro Bay Clinic, and Port Alsworth Clinic, AK, Request for Review, WC Docket No. 02-60, Funding Request Nos. RHC20240005404, RHC20240005463, RHC20240005466 (filed July 28, 2025)

Denied

*Late-filed FCC Form 462*⁴³

Parkview Consortium, IN, WC Docket No. 02-60, Funding Request Nos. RHC20240014290, RHC20240014741, RHC20240014532 (filed Aug. 13, 2024)

*Late Filed Petition for Reconsideration – Late-filed FCC Form 462*⁴⁴

Missouri Primary Care Association Consortium, MO, Request for Waiver, WC Docket No. 02-

and Order, Order, and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 38 FCC Rcd 827, 833, para. 14 (2023) (*Promoting Telehealth Second Report and Order*) (clarifying that rural rate approval for the initial year of a multi-year contract will constitute approval for all subsequent years of the contract, including voluntary extensions so long as the duration of the contract does not exceed five years). We grant GCI’s request to use previously approved rates under an evergreen contract that was executed in 2022 with options for multiple levels of service to Health Care Providers (HCPs). The contract was approved by USAC based on a waiver that allowed health care providers and service providers in Alaska to use previously approved rates for the same or similar service to the facility with the same or similar geographic characteristic when there are no approved rates for a particular facility or service. *See Promoting Telehealth in Rural America*, Order, 36 FCC Rcd 791, 795-6, para. 13 (WCB 2021). For funding year 2024, GCI sought approval of rural rates under the 2022 evergreen contract, exercising the option for bandwidth increases at the rates stipulated in the evergreen contract. USAC denied GCI’s proposal on the grounds that it could not use prior approved rates approved for another HCP. Because USAC’s rural rate approval for the initial year of the multi-year contract constitutes approval for all subsequent years of the contract, we overturn USAC’s denial and remand the funding requests to USAC for processing. *See Promoting Telehealth Second Report and Order*, 38 FCC Rcd at 833, para. 14.

⁴³ *See Requests for Waiver by Bartlett Regional Hospital, Community Counseling Services, Heart of Texas Region MHMR, Hiawatha Behavioral Health, Rural Health Care Support Mechanism*, WC Docket No. 02-60, Order, 39 FCC Rcd 3931, 3935 (WCB 2024) (*Bartlett Regional Hospital Order*) (denying requests to waive the application filing deadline filed more than 14 days after the filing deadline and without special circumstances warranting a waiver of the deadline). Parkview Consortium’s (Parkview) funding applications were timely submitted but with 51 of the consortium’s sites missing, because the applications had been finalized while USAC was still reviewing their individual eligibility filings. Parkview seeks to amend its pending funding applications to add the missing sites, noting that all the sites were deemed eligible prior to May 1, 2024, the application filing deadline. We treat Parkview’s request as a request to waive the application filing deadline to permit new applications to be submitted with all consortium sites. We deny Parkview’s request because it was submitted more than 14 days after the May 1, 2024 application filing deadline, and the special circumstances cited by Parkview in support of relief (i.e., “special challenges” resulting from global healthcare industry cyberattacks and eligibility determination delays that “may have been” the result of USAC’s switch to a new application platform) are too vague and speculative to support a waiver request. *See Bartlett Regional Hospital Order*, 39 FCC Rcd at 3935. We also direct USAC to process Parkview’s timely filed applications in the normal course and make no determination as to the merits of those applications.

⁴⁴ Missouri Primary Care sought reconsideration of the denial of the petition for waiver of the application filing deadline released by the Bureau on September 30, 2025. *Streamlined Resolution of Requests Related to Actions by the Universal Service Administrative Company*, WC Docket No. 02-60, Public Notice, DA 25-874 (WCB Sept. 30, 2025). The deadline to file a petition for reconsideration was October 30, 2025. 47 CFR § 1.106(f). Due to the government shutdown, the Commission extended all filing deadlines until November 18, 2025. *See Additional Guidance Ahead of Resumption of Operations and Extension of Filing Deadlines*, Public Notice, DA 25-937, (rel. Nov. 17, 2025). We dismiss the petition as untimely filed because it was submitted after the extended filing deadline of November 18, 2025.

60, Funding Request Nos. RHC20250016017, RHC20250016062, RHC20250016063, RHC20250016064, RHC20250016065, RHC20250016066, RHC20250016068, RHC20250016069, RHC20250016070, RHC20250016071, RHC20250016072, RHC20250016073, RHC20250016074 (filed Nov. 28, 2025)

Lifeline Program**WC Docket No. 11-42**Dismiss as Moot

Q Link Wireless LLC, Petition for Reconsideration, WC Docket No. 11-42 (Oct. 28, 2016)⁴⁵

Smith Bagley, Inc., Petition for Limited Waiver, WC Docket No. 11-42 (Sept. 11, 2024)⁴⁶

For additional information concerning this Public Notice, please contact James Bachtell in the Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, at james.bachtell@fcc.gov or (202) 418-2694.

- FCC -

⁴⁵ We dismiss as moot Q Link's petition for reconsideration of the Wireless Competition Bureau's determinations regarding broadband minimum service standards as a result of Q Link's debarment from the Lifeline program. *See generally Notice of Debarment*, File No. EB-HID-24-000337461, Letter Order, DA 25-1009 (EB rel. Dec. 5, 2025).

⁴⁶ *See, e.g., Requests for Review of Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Integrity Communications (Brooks Consolidated Independent School District) et al.; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism*, CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 27 FCC Rcd 7994, 7995, para. 1 (WCB 2012) (dismissing appeals as moot where the petitioner subsequently withdrew its funding requests). Smith Bagley, Inc. submitted a request that this pending matter be withdrawn. *See generally* Smith Bagley, Inc., Request for Withdrawal by Smith Bagley, Inc., WC Docket No. 11-42 (filed Dec. 8, 2025).